

## Peak District National Park Authority: Review of Statement of Community Involvement Consultation Statement

### Responses to Pre-Consultation Stage November/December 2017

Response From	Summary of Comment	How Comment was Addressed in Document
Bamford with Thornhill Parish Council	<p>The following are small things but would be useful improvements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The current PDNPA practice of sending us Decision Notices by Royal Mail is both slow and expensive. It would be much better if the process was changed to simply emailing us to tell us the Decision Notice is now displayed on the website.</li> <li>• A regular frustration we have is that we spend time at a Parish Council meeting discussing an application, only to discover subsequently that it has been withdrawn 2 or 3 days prior to our meeting but no-one had told us. If there could be a culture of advising parishes promptly when an application is withdrawn, that would eliminate this waste of effort at Parish Council meetings.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Town &amp; Parish Councils who have opted for electronic communication receive a link to the Decision Notice via email rather than post. If you would like to receive correspondence (including Decision Notices) via email then please contact us to change how you receive correspondence. No change to the SCI is required.</li> <li>• Agree that Parish Councils should be notified as soon as an application is withdrawn. This change has resulted in a change to the SCI.</li> </ul>
Great Hucklow Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Parish Council supports this document specifically point 1.11 as the needs of young local residents are of particular concern in our Parish.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comment welcomed. No change required to the SCI.</li> </ul>
Environment Agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As the EA are included as part of our statutory consultation requirements for planning applications and planning policy and this is indicated within this document then we have no concerns or comments to make.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comment welcomed. No change required to the SCI.</li> </ul>

Natural England	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• We regret we are unable to comment, in detail, on individual Statements of Community Involvement.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Noted.</li></ul>
Historic England	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• I can advise that Historic England welcomes the draft SCI in its current format and has no issues to raise in respect of content.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Comment welcomed. No change required to the SCI.</li></ul>